

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF A CLASS OF $m$ -SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND APPLICATIONS

Vu Van Nam<sup>1</sup>, Hoang Tung Lam<sup>2</sup> and Duong Manh Linh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Son La Specialized High School, <sup>2</sup> Tay Bac Univerrcity, <sup>3</sup> Son La College

**Abstract.** *In this paper we give the properties for  $m$ -capacity which is a generalization of the ones in [13]. Then as an application, we introduce a characterization of  $E_m^0$  class in terms of  $m$ -capacity.*

**Keywords and phrases.** *Plurisubharmonic funtions,  $m$ -subharmonic funtions, hyperconvex domain,  $m$ -Hessian operator, Monge-Ampère operator, weighted funtions,  $m$ -capacity.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1985, Caffarelli, Nirenberg and Spruck [10] proposed a model that made it possible to study the common properties of potential and pluripotential theories, as well as the transition between them. The core focuses on the this framework is what is known today as the class of  $m$ -subharmonic functions, which are extensions of the class of plurisubharmonic functions and encompasses the subharmonic and plurisubharmonic ones naturally. Recently, many authors have studied the class of  $m$ -subharmonic functions (see [9], [5], [12], [14]).

In order to study the complex  $m$ -Hessian operator for  $m$ -subharmonic functions which were not locally bounded on  $m$ -hyperconvex domain, Chinh introduced the Cegrell classes (in [5]); moreover, he proved that the complex  $m$ -Hessian operator was well-defined in these classes.

In the class of plurisubharmonic functions, the weighted energy class  $E_\chi(\Omega)$  that was a generalization of the class  $E_p(\Omega)$  has been introduced and studied by Benelkourchi, Guedj and Zeriahi in [2] and [8]. In the class of  $m$ -subharmonic functions, we has introduced the class  $F_{m,\chi}(\Omega)$  with finite weighted complex  $m$ -Hessian [6].

In this paper, we shall construct a new class of weighted energy functions in the class of  $m$ -subharmonic functions and study some analysis properties of this class. Specifically, the paper is organized as follows. The paper has three sections. In Section 2, we give some definitions and necessary results in order to prove our main results. Our main results are stated and proved in Section 3. We shall prove a characterization in finite weighted

energy in term of  $C_m$ -capacity for the class  $E_m^0(\Omega)$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some of definitions and results concerning to  $m$ -subharmonic functions which have been introduced and investigated intensively in recent years by many authors (see [9], [12], [14]). We also recall the Cegrell classes of  $m$ -subharmonic functions  $F_m(\Omega)$  and  $E_m(\Omega)$  introduced and studied in [5]. Next, we recall the class  $F_{m,\chi}(\Omega)$  with finite weighted complex  $m$ -Hessian was introduced in [6].

#### 2.1 The class of $m$ -subharmonic functions

First, we will denote by  $\square_{(1,1)}$  the space of  $(1,1)$ -forms with constant coefficients.

For  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , we define

$$\hat{\Gamma}_m = \{\eta \in \square_{(1,1)} : \eta \wedge \beta^{n-1} \geq 0, \dots, \eta^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $u$  be a subharmonic function on an open subset  $\Omega \subset \square^n$ . Then  $u$  is said to be a  $m$ -subharmonic function on  $\Omega$  iff

for every  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{m-1}$  in  $\hat{\Gamma}_m$  the inequality

$$dd^c u \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0,$$

holds in the sense of currents. We also denote  $\text{SH}_m(\Omega)$  the set of  $m$ -subharmonic functions on  $\Omega$  while  $\text{SH}_m^-(\Omega)$  denotes the set of negative  $m$ -subharmonic functions on  $\Omega$ .

Due to Proposition 3.1 in [9] (also see the Definition 1.2 in [14]), a subharmonic function  $u$  is  $m$ -subharmonic on  $\Omega$  iff  $(dd^c u)^k \wedge \beta^{n-k} \geq 0$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . More generally, if  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in C^2(\Omega)$ , then for all  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{m-k} \in \hat{\Gamma}_m$ , we have

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_k \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_{m-k} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

holds in the sense of currents.

It follows on [9] and [12] the  $m$ -Hessian operator for locally bounded  $m$ -subharmonic functions is defined as follows.

$$dd^c u_p \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \beta^{n-m} = dd^c (u_p dd^c u_{p-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \beta^{n-m}).$$

In [9] and [12], the authors proved that  $H_m(u_1, \dots, u_p)$  is a closed positive current of bidegree  $(n-m+p, n-m+p)$  and this operator is continuous under decreasing sequences of locally bounded  $m$ -subharmonic functions. In particular, when  $u = u_1 = \cdots = u_m \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$  the Borel measure  $H_m(u) = (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}$  is well defined and is called the complex  $m$ -Hessian of  $u$ .

## 2.2 Some weighted energy classes of $m$ -subharmonic

$$E_m^0(\Omega) = \{u \in SH_m^-(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega) : \lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} u(z) = 0, \int_{\Omega} H_m(u) < +\infty\},$$

$$F_m(\Omega) = \{u \in SH_m^-(\Omega) : \exists u_j \in \square_m^0, u_j \sqsubseteq u, \sup \int_{\Omega} H_m(u_j) < +\infty\}$$

and

$$E_m(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in SH_m^-(\Omega) : \forall z_0 \in \Omega, \text{ and } \exists \text{ a neighborhood } \omega \text{ of } z_0, \text{ and } \right. \\ \left. E_m^0 \text{ of } u_j \sqsubseteq u \text{ on } \omega, \sup \int_{\Omega} H_m(u_j) < \infty \right\}.$$

From Theorem 3.14 in [5] it follows that if  $u \in E_m(\Omega)$ , the complex  $m$ -Hessian  $H_m(u) = (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}$  is well defined and it is a Radon measure on  $\Omega$ . On the other hand, by Remark 3.6 in [5] the following description of  $E_m(\Omega)$  may be given

$$E_m = E_m(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in SH_m^-(\Omega) : \forall U \Subset \Omega, \exists v \in F_m(\Omega), v = u \text{ on } U \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Now we recall the two weighted pluricomplex energy classes of plurisubharmonic functions defined in [6].

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\chi : \square^- \rightarrow \square^+$  be an decreasing function and  $1 \leq m \leq n$  and  $\Omega$  is a bounded  $m$ -hyperconvex domain in  $\square^n$ . We define

$$F_{m,\chi}(\Omega) = \{u \in SH_m^-(\Omega) : \exists \{u_j\} \subset E_m^0, u_j \sqsubseteq u \text{ on } \Omega \\ \sup_j \int_{\Omega} \chi(u_j) (dd^c u_j)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} < +\infty\},$$

and

**Definition 2.2.** Assume that

$$u_1, \dots, u_p \in SH_m(\Omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega) \text{ with } p \leq m.$$

Then the complex Hessian operator

$$H_m(u_1, \dots, u_p) \text{ is defined inductively by}$$

Now, we recall the following weighted energy classes of  $m$ -subharmonic functions which were introduced and investigated in [5]. From now on, we make the assumption that  $\Omega$  is a bounded  $m$ -hyperconvex domain in  $\square^n$ , there exists a continuous  $m$ -subharmonic function  $u : \Omega \rightarrow \square^-$  such that  $\Omega_c = \{u < c\} \Subset \Omega, \forall c < 0$ . It has already been shown that, every plurisubharmonic function is  $m$ -subharmonic with  $m \geq 1$ , so every  $m$ -hyperconvex domain in  $\square^n$  is hyperconvex. We put

$$E_{m,\chi}(\Omega) = \{u \in SH_m^-(\Omega) : \forall K \Subset \Omega, \exists v \in F_{m,\chi}, v = u \text{ on } K\}.$$

In the case  $\chi(t) \equiv 1$  for all  $t < 0$ , we get  $F_{m,\chi}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $E_{m,\chi}(\Omega)$ ) coincide with the class  $F(\Omega)$  (resp.  $E(\Omega)$ ) in [4]. Moreover, by Proposition 4 in [6],  $E_{m,\chi}(\Omega) \subset E_m(\Omega)$  provided that  $\chi(2t) \leq a\chi(t)$  for all  $t < 0$  with some  $a > 1$ . We will prove the this assertion in another way as follows.

**Proposition 2.4.** If  $\chi(2t) \leq a\chi(t)$  for all  $t < 0$  with some  $a > 1$  then  $F_{m,\chi}(\Omega) \subset F_m(\Omega)$  (resp.  $E_{m,\chi}(\Omega) \subset E_m(\Omega)$ ).

**Proof.** We prove in the second case, that  $\varphi \in E_{m,\chi}(\Omega)$  then  $\varphi$  in  $E_m(\Omega)$ . Indeed, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\chi(0) = 0$  and  $\chi(t) > 0$  for every  $t < 0$ . Let  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and take a neighbourhood  $K \Subset \Omega$  of  $z_0$  and a sequence  $\{\varphi_j\} \subset E_m^0(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi_j \sqsubseteq \varphi$  on  $K$  and

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} \chi(\varphi_j) H_m(\varphi_j) < \infty.$$

Choose a convex decreasing function  $\chi$  such that  $\chi \leq \chi$ . Then

$$\sup_{j \in \square} \int_{\Omega} \chi(\varphi) H_m(\varphi_j) \leq \sup_{j \in \square} \int_{\Omega} \chi(\varphi_j) H_m(\varphi_j) \leq \sup_{j \in \square} \int_{\Omega} \chi(\varphi_j) H_m(\varphi_j) < +\infty$$

Now, as in Lemma 5.5 in [13], we have

$$\varphi_j^K = \sup\{u \in SH_m^-(\Omega) : u \leq (H_K) \varphi_j\} \in E_m^0(\Omega).$$

and  $\varphi_j^G \square \varphi$  on  $K$ . Let  $h_0 \in E_m^0(\Omega)$  with

$h_0 \neq 0$  such that  $-h_0 \leq \chi(\varphi)$ . Then by

Lemma 2.6 in [13], we have

$$\int_{\Omega} -h_0 H_m(\varphi_j) \leq \sup_{j \in \square} \int_{\Omega} \chi(\varphi) H_m(\varphi_j) < +\infty$$

Now

$$\inf_K (-h_0) \sup_{j \in \square} \int_K H_m(\varphi_j^K) \leq \sup_{j \in \square} \int_K -h_0 H_m(\varphi_j^K) \leq \sup_{j \in \square} \int_{\Omega} -h_0 H_m(\varphi_j^K)$$

Hence

$$\sup_{j \in \square} \int_{\Omega} H_m(\varphi_j^K) \leq \frac{1}{-\sup_K h_0} \sup_{j \in \square} \int_{\Omega} -h_0 H_m(\varphi_j^K) < +\infty,$$

Thus  $\lim_j \varphi_j^K \in F_m(\Omega)$ . By (2), we have

that  $\varphi \in E_m(\Omega)$ .

### 2.3. The $m$ -capacity

We recall the notion of  $m$ -capacity as in [5]. As well as  $C_n$  capacity in the sense of Bedford and Taylor on  $\Omega$ , the  $C_m$ -capacity is the set function which is given as follows.

**Definition 2.5.** The  $m$ -capacity of a Borel set  $E \subset \Omega$  with respect to  $\Omega$ , is defined by

$$C_m(E) = C_m(E, \Omega) = \sup_E \left\{ \int H_m(u) : u \in SH_m(\Omega), -1 \leq u \leq 0 \right\}.$$

The outer  $m$ -capacity of a Borel set  $E \subset \Omega$  is defined by

$$C_m^*(E, G) := \inf \{ C_m(G, \Omega) : E \subset G, G$$

is an open subset of  $\Omega$  \}.

We refer to [6] for more details about elementary properties of the  $m$ -capacity, which is similar to the capacity was presented in [1] (see also [3]).

a) If  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$  then  $C_m(E_1, \Omega_2), C_m(E_2, \Omega_1)$ ;

b)  $C_m(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_m(E_j)$ ;

c) If  $E \subset D \Subset \Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \Subset \square^n$  then  $C_m(E, \Omega_1), C_{D, \Omega_1, \Omega_2} C_m(E, \Omega_2)$ ;

d) If  $E_j \square E$  then  $C_m(E_j) \square C_m(E)$ .

**Proof.** The assertion a), b) and d) are follows from the definition of the  $m$ -capacity. Now, we prove c). Indeed, since we can cover  $D$  by finite number of balls contained in  $\Omega_1$

$$dd^c \chi(\varphi) = \chi''(\varphi) d\varphi \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge T + \chi'(\varphi) dd^c \varphi \wedge T \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $T$  is closed positive current of bidegree  $(n-1, n-1)$ , hence  $\chi(\varphi) \in SH_m^-(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} -h_0 H_m(\varphi_j^K) \leq \int_{\Omega} -h_0 H_m(\varphi_j).$$

It follows from (3),  $\chi(\varphi) \in SH_m^-(\Omega)$ , we have

On other hand, let  $h_{E, \Omega}^*$  denotes the smallest upper semicontinuous majorant of  $h_{E, \Omega}$ . It follows on Theorem 2.20 in [11], we get

$$C_m^*(E) = \int_{\Omega} H_m(h_{E, \Omega}^*)$$

and

$$h_{E, \Omega}(z) = \sup\{\varphi(z) : \varphi \in SH_m^-(\Omega), \varphi \leq -1 \text{ on } E\}.$$

Now we combine with Proposition 2.10 in [5], we gives some well-known and elementary properties of the  $m$ -capacity similar to the capacity presented in [1] (see also [3]). Namely, we have the following result, which is a generalization of the ones in [13].

#### Lemma 2.6.

then by a) and b) we can assume that  $D = B(z_0, r)$  and  $\Omega_1 = B(z_0, R)$ , where  $B(z, r)$  is the ball with center at  $z$  and radius

$r$ . Now, let  $u \in SH_m(\Omega_1)$  be such that  $-1 \leq u \leq 0$ . We set

$$\psi(z) = (R^2 - r^2)^{-1} (|z - z_0|^2 - R^2).$$

Then  $\psi \in SH_m(\mathbb{B}^n)$ ,  $\psi = 0$  on

$\partial\Omega_1$ ,  $\psi = -1$  on  $D$ . Set

$$u = \begin{cases} \max\{u, \psi\} & \text{on } \Omega_1, \\ \psi & \text{on } \Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1 \end{cases}$$

and  $v = (1+c)^{-1}(u-c)$ ,  $c = \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_2)}$ . Then we have

$$v \in SH_m(\Omega_2), -1 \leq v \leq 0 \text{ and } H_m(v) = (1+c)^{-m} H_m(u) \text{ on } D.$$

Hence  $C_m(E, \Omega_1) \subset (1+c)^m C_m(E_2, \Omega_2)$ .

From Theorem 3.6 in [13], we have we give the following.

**Proposition 2.7.** If  $u, v \in E_m^0(\Omega)$  then

$$\int_{\{u>v\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = \int_{\{u>v\}} (dd^c \max(u, v))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}.$$

**Proof.** First we prove the following result

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \max(u, v))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \quad (4)$$

Indeed, for all  $h \in C_o^\infty(\Omega)$ , put  $\omega = \max\{u, v\} \in F_m(\Omega)$ , then by Stokes formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c \omega)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} &= \int_{\Omega} -\omega dd^c h \wedge (dd^c \omega)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} -udd^c h \wedge (dd^c \omega)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -hdd^c u \wedge (dd^c \omega)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m} \\ &= \dots = \int_{\Omega} -h(dd^c u)^{m-1} \wedge \beta^{n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Then let  $h \equiv -1$  we have the desired.

Now, applying Theorem 3.6 in [13], we have

$$(dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = (dd^c \max(u, v))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}$$

on  $\{u>v\}$ , we imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \max(u, v))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} &= \int_{\{u>v\}} (dd^c \max(u, v))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} + \int_{\{u \leq v\}} (dd^c \max(u, v))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \\ &\leq \int_{\{u>v\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} + \int_{\{u \leq v\}} (dd^c \max(u, v))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (4), we have

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\{u \leq v\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \quad (5)$$

Then, replace  $v$  by  $v - \varepsilon$  in (5) we obtain the following

$$\int_{\{u < v - \varepsilon\}} (dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\{u \leq v - \varepsilon\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}$$

Therefore, let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have the following

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}.$$

and the desired conclusion follows. The proof of the theorem is complete.

### 3. Results

In this section, we give the following properties for the weighted  $m$ -capacity of the functions in  $E_m^0(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** For all  $u \in E_m^0(\Omega)$  and  $s \geq 0$  we have

$$s^m C_m(\varphi < -2s) \leq \int_{\{\varphi < -s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq s^m C_m(\varphi < -s).$$

**Proof.** Next, by Lemma 2.6 and then applying Theorem 3.6 in [13], we have

$$1_{\{u > v\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = 1_{\{u > v\}} (dd^c \max(u, -t))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{u < -s\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} &= \int_{\{u < -s\}} (dd^c \max(u, -s))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \\ &= s^m \int_{\{u < -s\}} (dd^c \max(\frac{u}{s}, -1))^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \\ &\leq s^m C_m(u < -s) \end{aligned}$$

this proof the first inequality.

To complete the proof it remains to show the second inequality. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_m(u < -2t) &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\{u < -2t\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} : \varphi \in SH_m(\Omega), -1 \leq \varphi \leq 0 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\{\frac{u}{t} < -2\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} : \varphi \in SH_m(\Omega), -1 \leq \varphi \leq 0 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \int_{\{\frac{u}{t} < \varphi - 1\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} : \varphi \in SH_m(\Omega), -1 \leq \varphi \leq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Then, apply Proposition 2.7 we have

$$\int_{\{\frac{u}{t} < \varphi - 1\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\{\frac{u}{t} < \varphi - 1\}} (dd^c \frac{u}{t})^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_m(u < -2t) &\leq \sup \left\{ \int_{\{\frac{u}{t} < \varphi - 1\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} : \varphi \in SH_m(\Omega), -1 \leq \varphi \leq 0 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \int_{\{\frac{u}{t} < \varphi - 1\}} (dd^c \frac{u}{t})^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} : \varphi \in SH_m(\Omega), -1 \leq \varphi \leq 0 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^m} \int_{\{\frac{u}{t} < -1\}} (dd^c \frac{u}{t})^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = \frac{1}{t^m} \int_{\{u < -t\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$t^m C_m(u < -2t) \leq \int_{\{u < -t\}} (dd^c u)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m}$$

the desired conclusion follows. The proof of the theorem is complete.

The  $E_m^0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_m^0$  were defined by  $m$ -Hessian measure. By using Proposition 2.8 about the upper bound as  $m$ -capacity in the class  $E_m^0$ , we gave a characterization for this class in the following Theorem, which is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.9.** Assume that  $\chi: \mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a function satisfies the condition  $\chi(2t) \leq a\chi(t)$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $\chi'(t) \leq 0$ ,  $\forall t < 0$ . Then  $\forall \varphi \in E_m^0$  we have

$$e_\chi^m(\varphi) \sim \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) s^m C_m(\varphi < -s) ds.$$

**Proof.** Indeed, applying Lemma 1 in [6], we have

$$s^m C_m(\varphi < -2s) \leq \int_{\{\varphi < -s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq s^m C_m(\varphi < -s).$$

Note that, by replacing  $\min(\chi(t); -jt)$  and approximately if necessary for  $\chi(t)$ , we can assume that  $\chi(0) = 0$ . Then

$$e_\chi^m(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \chi(\varphi) (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) \int_{\{\varphi < -s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds.$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) s^m C_m(\varphi < -2s) \leq e_\chi^m(\varphi) \leq \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) s^m C_m(\varphi < -s).$$

On other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) \int_{\{\varphi < -2s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds &= \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) \int_{\{\frac{\varphi}{2} < -s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-\frac{s}{2}) \int_{\{\varphi < -s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds \end{aligned}$$

However, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \chi(\frac{\varphi}{2}) (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-\frac{s}{2}) \int_{\{\varphi < -s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds.$$

Hence, applying the condition  $\chi(2t) \leq a\chi(t)$ ,  $a > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) \int_{\{\varphi < -2s\}} (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds &= \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \int_0^\infty \chi(\frac{\varphi}{2}) (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^m} \int_0^\infty \chi(\frac{\varphi}{2}) (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{a2^m} \int_0^\infty \chi(\varphi) (dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} ds \end{aligned}$$

From which we infer that

$$\int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) s^m C_m(\varphi < -2s) \geq \frac{1}{a2^m} \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) s^m C_m(\varphi < -s).$$

Thus

$$e_\chi^m(\varphi) \sim \int_0^\infty -\chi'(-s) s^m C_m(\varphi < -s) ds.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Corollary 2.10.** Assume that  $\chi$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.9 and  $v \in SH_m(\Omega)$ ,  $u \in F_{m,\chi}(\Omega)$ ,  $u \leq v$  then  $v \in F_{m,\chi}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $u \in F_{m,\chi}$ , then from Definition 2.3 that

$$\exists \varphi_j \in E_m^0, \varphi_j \sqsupseteq u, \sup_j e_\chi^m(\varphi) < +\infty.$$

Put  $v_j = \max(\varphi_j, v)$ , then  $v_j \in SH_m(\Omega)$ . We prove that  $v_j \in E_m^0$ . Indeed, since  $\varphi_j \sqsupseteq u$ , but  $v \geq u$  we infer that  $v_j \sqsupseteq v$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \partial\Omega} v(z) = 0$ . Further more, by Theorem 5.2 in [7]

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c v_j)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} < \infty.$$

To prove the theorem, we only need to prove that

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} \chi(v_j) (dd^c v_j)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} < \infty.$$

Indeed, we have from Theorem 2.9

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi(v_j)(dd^c v_j)^m \wedge \beta^{n-m} = \int_0^{\infty} -\chi'(-s)s^m C_m(v_j < -s) ds \leq \int_0^{\infty} -\chi'(-s)s^m C_m(\varphi_j < -s) ds \sim e_{\chi}^m(\varphi_j)$$

Then

$$\sup_j e_{\chi}^m(v_j) \leq \sup_j e_{\chi}^m(\varphi) < +\infty.$$

Thus  $v \in F_{m,\chi}$ .

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by the B2020-TTB-02 program.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. E. Bedford and B.A. Taylor, A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions, *Acta Math.*, 149 (1982), no. 1-2, 1-40.
- [2]. S. Benelkourchi, Weighted Pluricomplex Energy, *Potential Analysis.*, Vol 31, N1(2009), 1-20.
- [3]. Z. Blocki, The complex Monge-Ampère operator in pluripotential theory, *Lecture notes* (2002).
- [4]. U. Cegrell, The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble).*, 54 (2004), 159-179.
- [5]. L. H. Chinh, On Cegrell's classes of  $m$ -subharmonic functions, *arXiv* 1301.6502v1, (2012).
- [6]. V. V. Hung, Local property of a class of  $m$ -subharmonic functions, *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*, 44(3) (2016), 603-621.
- [7]. V. V. Hung and H. N. Quy, The  $m$ -Hessian operator on some weighted energy classes of  $m$ -subharmonic functions, *Results in Mathematics*, 75:112, pp. 1--24.
- [8]. S. Benelkourchi, V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, Plurisubharmonic functions with weak singularities, In: *Proceedings from the Kiselmanifest, Uppsala University, V"astra Aros* (2009), 57-74.
- [9]. Z. Blocki, Weak solutions to the complex Hessian equation, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 55 (2005), 1735-1756.
- [10]. Caffarelli L., Nirenberg L., Spruck J., The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian. *Acta Math.* 155 (1985), no. 3-4, 261-301.
- [11]. L. H. Chinh, A variational approach to complex Hessian equations in  $\square^n$ , *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 431(1) (2015), 228-259.
- [12]. S. Dinew, S. Kolodziej, A priori estimates for the complex Hessian equations. *Analysis & PDE* 7 (2014), 227-244.
- [13]. V. V. Hung and N. V. Phu, Hessian measures on  $m$ -polar sets and applications to the complex Hessian equations, *Com. Var. and Elliptic Equations*, 62 (8) (2017), 1135-1164.
- [14]. A. Sadullaev, B. Abullaev, Potential theory in the class of  $m$ -subharmonic functions. *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni V. A. Steklova*, 279, 166-192 (2012).

## ĐẶC TRƯNG CHO MỘT LỚP HÀM $m$ -ĐIỀU HÒA DƯỚI

Vũ Văn Nam<sup>1</sup>, Hoàng Tùng Lâm<sup>2</sup> và Dương Mạnh Linh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Trường THPT Chuyên Sơn La, <sup>2</sup> Trường Đại học Tây Bắc, <sup>3</sup> Trường Cao đẳng Sơn La

**Tóm tắt:** Chúng tôi đưa ra một số đánh giá đối với  $m$ -dung tích, từ đó đưa ra một đặc trưng của lớp  $E_m^0$  thông qua  $m$ -dung tích.

**Từ khóa:** Hàm đa điều hòa dưới, hàm  $m$ -điều hòa dưới, miền siêu lồi, toán tử  $m$ -Hessian, toán tử Monge-Ampere, hàm trọng,  $m$ -dung tích.

Ngày nhận bài: 09/07/2021. Ngày nhận đăng: 01/09/2021.

Liên lạc: Vũ Văn Nam, e - mail: [vunamtoanchuyensl@gmail.com](mailto:vunamtoanchuyensl@gmail.com)